

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the
Middle District of Alabama

United States of America

v.

Susan Grammer

Date of Original Judgment: 11/08/2021

Date of Previous Amended Judgment: _____

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

Case No: 1:21cr102-RAH-2

USM No: 49944-509

George B. Bulls, II

Defendant's Attorney

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ **DENIED.** ☐ **GRANTED** and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____.

(See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

The defendant received a sentence of 60 months' custody pursuant to a variance. Her amended guideline range under Part B of Amendment 821 is 70 - 87 months. According to USSG §1B1.10(b)(2)(A)-(B), the court shall not reduce the defendant's term of imprisonment under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) and this policy statement to a term that is less than the minimum of the amended guideline range but for a government motion for substantial assistance.

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated _____ shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 07/29/2024


Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

R. Austin Huffaker, Jr., U.S. District Judge

Printed name and title